

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,314	10/08/2003	Mark Bellm	7262-005	7326	
20575	7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<del></del>			
•	•	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/682,314	,	BELLM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Hwei-Siu C		3724			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation.  lays, a reply within the statut ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					•		
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	·						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	23 is/are: a)☐ accept note the drawing(s) be the correction is required.	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  5. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  6. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  7. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  8. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  9. Copies o	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documen Il Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	I Stage		
2)	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	0-948) 'O/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Art Unit: 3724

## **Detailed Action**

(1) Applicant's election without traverse of invention Group I in the reply filed on 4-7-2005 is acknowledged.

(2) Claims 14A and 22A are misnumbered. Accordingly, claims 14A-23 have been renumbered as claims 15-25, respectively. None-elected claims 11-16 have been withdrawn from further consideration.

#### **Objection to the Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because:

(1) Reference numeral "12" (in Figs.2A and 4) has not been described in the specification.

- (2) In Fig.4, reference numeral "18" has not been described in the specification.
- (3) Figs.6A,6B,7A,7B,8A,8B and 10 are admitted prior art and should be so labeled.
  - (4) In Figs. 8B, 9 and 11, "θint" has not been described in the specification.
  - (5) In Fig.11, " $\theta_{TVT}$ " has not been described in the specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Art Unit: 3724

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### **Objection to the Specification**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) On page 3, lines 4-5, "by rotation of external head 10 against internal head 20" is incorrect. The phrase should read --by rotation of internal head 20 against external head 10--.
- (2) On page 3, line 27, "rotated opposite directions" should read --rotated in opposite directions--.
  - (3) On page 4, line 20, "external openings" should read --internal openings--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3724

Claims Objection

Claims 17-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

(1) In claim 17, line 6, "a rotational axis" should read --the rotational axis-- since it

refers to the one previously cited.

(2) In claim 17, line 12, "a rotational axis" should read --the rotational axis-- since

it refers to the one previously cited.

(3) In claims 20-23, "degrees" should read --degree--.

(4) Claim 24 is redundant since the limitation cited therein has already been

included in claim 17.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall

set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention.

The claimed angle of 2.3 degrees has no support from the original specification.

Art Unit: 3724

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 6, 17 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Strike (U.S. Patent No. 3,085,328).

Strike shows the claimed rotary hair trimmer. He specifically states the cutting

edges (31,35) may be differently angled relatively to obtain efficient clipping or shearing

to minimize pulling on the hairs being cut (see column 2, lines 44-47). Fig.3 of Strike

shows the inner bore of the first cutting head (9) being a diameter substantially equal to

an outside diameter of the second cutting head (10), and the first and second cutting

teeth can substantially align no more than one first cutting edge (35) with one second

cutting edge (31). It is noted Strike does suggest relief or clearance may be provided

between the sleeve (24) and the clipper element (see column 2, lines 29-33) but it's only

wherever desired.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3724

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Bolduc (U.S. Patent No. 3,965,569).

Bolduc shows a rotary cutting device comprising an outer cutting head (29) having **eight** cutting teeth (33, see column 2, lines 61-62), and an inner cutting head (27) having two inner cutting teeth (45,46). However, Bolduc does suggest the number of the teeth (33) can vary (see column 2, lines 64-66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bolduc by making seven or nine cutting teeth (33) rather than eight as desired.

3. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strike (U.S. Patent No. 3,085,328).

Strike as set forth shows a rotary hair trimmer substantially as claimed except for the range of the difference in the rake angles.

However, the claimed range of the difference in the rake angles is not patentably distinct over Strike, since as evidenced by Applicants (on page 6 of the specification) the range is merely preferable. The exact range of the difference in rake angles depends more upon the criteria of the cutting teeth (i.e. whether a more efficient cutting blade or a less wear blade is desired) than on any inventive concept.

### **Indication of Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 2-5 and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 2-5 and 8-10 contain allowable subject matter because none of the prior art of record taken alone or in combination thereof shows or fairly suggests the claimed numbers for the inner teeth and the outer teeth. The numbers are so selected that results in alignment of no more than one external leading edge and one internal trailing edge in any rotational position (note page 4 of the specification).

#### **Prior Art Citations**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, are cited as art of interest.

#### **Point of Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3724

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer June 8, 2005

> Hwai-Siu Payar Primary Examiner